





15 November 2018

MS. BERNADETTE ROMULO-PUYAT
DOT Secretary and Chairperson
MS. MARIE VENUS Q. TAN
COO/Vice Chairperson
TOURISM PROMOTIONS BOARD (TPB)
4/F Legaspi Towers, 300 Roxas Boulevard
Manila

TOURISM PROMOTIONS BOARD
Office of the Chief Operating Officer
COLI-11-22-18 0:00-E
RECEIVED

Date: 11-22-18 | 3:10 pm

Received by: Internal

RE: VALIDATION RESULT OF 2017 PERFORMANCE SCORECARD OF TPB

Dear Secretary Romulo-Puyat and COO/Vice Chairperson Tan,

This is to formally transmit the validation result of TPB's 2017 Performance Scorecard. Based on the validation of documentary submissions, TPB gained an over-all score of **45.5%** (See *Annex A*).

In relation to its application for the grant of the 2017 PBB to eligible officers and employees, TPB fails to satisfy the requirements of **GCG MEMORANDUM CIRCULAR (MC) No. 2017-01** and the *Checklist of Documents to be submitted by GOCCs to Qualify for the 2017 Performance-Based Bonus (PBB)*, particularly the achievement of a weighted-average score of at least 90% in its FY 2017 Performance Scorecard. In this regard, the Board is reminded that any unilateral action to release the PBB will be considered as a violation of the Board's fiduciary duty to protect the assets of the GOCC as provided under Section 19 of Republic Act No. 10149².

Consequently, pursuant to GCG M.C. NO. 2016-01³, failure to qualify for PBB means that the Appointive Members of the Governing Board of TPB shall not be qualified to receive the Performance-Based Incentive (PBI).

FOR YOUR INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE.

Very truly yours,

SAMUEL G. DAGPIN. JR.

Commissioner

cc: COA Resident Auditor - TPB

MARITES C. DORAL Commissioner

¹ Interim Performance-Based Bonus (PBB), dated 09 June 2017.

² GOCC Governance Act of 2011.

³ Compensation Framework for Members of GOCC Governing Boards, dated 10 May 2016.

TOURISM PROMOTIONS BOARD 2017 Performance Scorecard Evaluation

			Componer	nt			TPB Subm	ission	GCG Valid	ation	Supporting	
	Object	tive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
	SO 1	Top of Mind Trave	el Destination									
R / STAKEHOLDER	SM 1	International Visitors from TPB Key Markets (12 Key Markets plus Overseas Filipino Markets) ¹	Absolute Number	10%	Below 5 Million = 0%; 5 Million to 5.3 Million = 5%; 5.4 Million to 5.7 Million = 8%; Above 5.7 Million = 10%	5.7 Million	5,735,800	10%	5,647,199	8%	Visitor Arrivals to the Philippines by Country of Residence 2017 (Report by Department of Tourism)	The GCG-validated accomplishment was based on the official visitor arrival based on country of origin published by the Department of Tourism.
CUSTOMER/	SM 2	Return on marketing investment (ROMI) of TPB domestic and international marketing and promotions projects	(Benefit – Cost) / Cost	10%	Below 1179% = 0%; 1179% to 1189% = 5%; 1190% to 1200% = 8%; Above 1200% = 10%	1200%	1218%	10%	Cannot be validated	0%	 Terminal Reports List of Domestic and International Marketing and Promotions Projects 	Supporting documents submitted are terminal reports per project, however the Governance Commission finds the documents insufficient as there are projects/events with missing or no terminal reports. Moreover, the terminal reports only provided

1-

¹ Malaysia; Singapore; China; Hong Kong; Japan; Korea; Taiwan; Canada; USA; Germany; United Kingdom; Australia; and Overseas Filipino

T P B |Page 2 of 8 Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

	Compone	nt			TPB Subn	nission	GCG Valid	lation	Supporting	
Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
Return on marketing investment SM 3 (ROMI) of TPB marketing communication projects	Media Values / Media Spend	10%	Below 96.34% = 0% 96.34% to 113% = 5% 114% to 130% = 8% Above 130% = 10%	130%	159%	10%	Cannot be validated	0%	List of Marketing Communications Projects Accomplishment Reports Terminal Reports PR Activity Reports	estimated/approved budget per event/pro and not the actual of incurred. Thus, reported ROMIs based on estimated approved budget. Since the validity accuracy of documents submit could not established, Governance Commission was unato properly evaluate performance of with respect to measure. As such, weight is awarded. TPB also requested retain its proporating scale (Actual/Target) x We for both SM 2 and 3. revision to a gradual rating scale is emphasize importance significance of measure on the overachievement of company's vision. In with this, the requestretain its proporating scale is DENIET

T P B |Page 3 of 8 Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

		Componer	nt 💮			TPB Subm	ission	GCG Valid	ation	Supporting	
Object	tive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating		GCG Remarks
SM 4	Number of TPB- assisted domestic and international events held in the Philippines including won bids	Absolute Number	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	355 events	466 events	10%	459 events	10%	 Quarterly Accomplishment Reports List of TPB-assisted Domestic and International Events held in the Philippines including Won Bids Accomplishment Reports Terminal Reports 	Of the reported accomplishment, only 459 events were provided with supporting documents. Still, TPB exceeded the target.
SM 5	Implementation of Programmed Events based on the Board- approved Work Program	No. of Implemented Events / Total No. of Programmed Events based on the Board- approved Work Program	10%	All or Nothing	Implement 90% of targets in the planned events	IPD, MICE, MARCOM and Domestic have accomplished 90% or above of all their targets	10%	Cannot be validated	0%	 TPB 2017 Work Program Targets and Accomplishment Report Quarterly Accomplishment Reports 	For 2017, TPB reported that it was able to accomplish 90% or above of all their targets. This was supported by a copy of a presentation made to and ratified by the Board. Upon validation, most of the actual performances indicated in the presentation were not consistent with the figures reflected in the Accomplishment Reports. Moreover, some of the accomplishments claimed in the presentation are not supported by a terminal

T P B |Page 4 of 8 Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

		Componen	t			TPB Submi	ission	GCG Valida	ation	Supporting	tatomic and
Object	tive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
											report and/or accomplishment report accomplishment report considering that the documentary evidence submitted are internally-generated reports, it is crucial that these are consisted with each other foregoing considered the Governant Commission grants of for this measure.
SO 3	Improve Custor	mer Satisfaction	Rating								
											TPB exceeded t
SM 6	Satisfactory Rating (Third Party)	No. of respondents who gave a VS rating or higher / Total no. of respondents	10%	All or Nothing	90% of respond- ents gave a rating of Very Satisfied or higher	92% of respondents gave a rating of Very Satisfied with 4.28 mean score	10%	92% of respondents gave a rating of Very Satisfied or higher	10%	Customer Satisfaction Survey Result submitted by the third-party consultant (PSRC)	TPB exceeded target. The target pertains the top 2-boxes of a point rating scale. Us the said rating sca 36% of responde were Very Satisfic while 56% we Satisfied. In line withis, TPB is awarded score.

TPB |Page 5 of 8 Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

			Componer	nt	e la la Fil		TPB Subn	nission	GCG Valid	dation	Supporting	
	Object	ive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
FINANCE	SM 7	Utilization of Corporate Operating Funds	Utilization of Corporate Operating Budget (utilization = obligated treated as expense although no cash)	10%	Below 85% = 0% 85% to 87% = 5% 88% to 90% = 8% Above 90% = 10%	90%²	97%	10%	54%	0%	DBM- Approved Corporate Operating Budget of TPB for CY 2017 COA Annual Audit Report	Validated score was based on the 2017 COA Audit Report.In a letter dated 24 November 2017³, TPB requested to retain the rating scale of (Actual/Target) x Weight instead of the graduated rating scale approved under the GCG-modified scorecard. It should be noted that the graduated rating scale was recommended for crucial measures to give credit to accomplishments falling within an acceptable level of performance. Considering that budget utilization reflects the strong linkage of proper planning and excellent execution, which the Performance Evaluation System encourages, the request to modify the rating scale is not recommended. Moreover, the graduated rating scale approved for this measure already took into consideration the historical accomplishments of TPB⁴, such that anything lower than the historical performance does not merit a score. Considering the foregoing, the request of TPB to retain the rating scale of (Actual/Target) x Weight is DENIED.

 ² Excluding Contingency Funds
 ³ Officially received by the Governance Commission on 28 November 2017.
 ⁴ Lowest BUR is 84%, graduated rating scale provides for a 0% score for BUR below 85%.

TPB |Page 6 of 8 Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

Revenues from TPB Business Development Initiatives SM 8 Revenue from TPB Business Development Initiatives Development Initiatives Development Initiatives Sub-total SO 6 Alignment with National Tourism Development Plan SSB Dougland Board Board No Board			Componer	nt 💮			TPB Subm	ission	GCG Valida	ation	Supporting	
SM 8 Revenues from TPB Business Development Initiatives Development Initiatives Sub-total 20% P250,000 P920,645.08 10% P910,645.08 10% P910,64	Objec	ctive/Measure	Formula	Weight		Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating		GCG Remarks
SM 8 Revenues from TPB Business Development Initiatives Sub-total SO 6 Alignment with National Tourism Development Plan Revenue from TPB Business Development Initiatives 10% (Actual / Target) x Weight Revenue from TPB Business Development Initiatives 10% Revenue from TPB Business Development Plan (Actual / Target) x Weight Revenue from TPB Business Development Plan Sub-total 20% 10% Revenue from TPB Business Development Plan Revenue from TPB Business Development Plan Sub-total Board No Board	SO 5	Develop Supple	mental Revenue	e Sources								
SO 6 Alignment with National Tourism Development Plan SO 6 Board No Board	SM 8	TPB Business Development	Revenue from TPB Business Development	10%	Target) x	₽250,000	₽920,645.08	10%	₽910,645.08	10%	 COA Annual Audit Report Official Receipts Proof of Fund Transfer 	TPB exceeded the target. Validated actual accomplishment based on revised submission and copy of Official Receipts and Proof of Fund Transfer provided.
Board No Board			Sub-total	20%				20%		10%		
Framework ment Plan for 2018-2022 for 2018-2022	 		The Attention of the Attention of the	10%	All or Nothing	Approved Marketing Plan for	LOW PARKET NO.	0%	Approved Marketing Plan	0%	No supporting document	The TPB made representation that the 5-year Marketing Plan was yet to be presented and approved by the Board citing the resignation of the former DOT Secretary and Chairperson of the Board Wanda Corazon Teo and the subsequent resignation of TPB COO Cesar Montano as causes of delay. However, both resignations happened

TPB |Page 7 of 8 Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

			Componer	nt 🗼			TPB Subm	ission	GCG Valida	ation	Supporting	
	Object	ive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
	SO 7	Quality Manager	nent System									
	SM 10	ISO Certification	Actual Accomplish- ment	5%	All or Nothing	Maintain ISO 9001:2008 Certification	ISO 9001:2008 Continued Certification granted on 27 Dec 2018	5%	Maintained ISO 9001:2008 Certification	5%	Letter from SOCOTEC Certification International Philippines re Continued Certification on ISO 9001:2008	Acceptable.
			Sub-total	15%			i.	5%		5%		
	SO 8	Develop a Highly	Competent an	d Profess	sional Workfo	orce						
LEARNING AND GROWTH	SM 11	Competency Profile of Positions	Actual Accomplish- ment	5%	Either Baseline Competency for 6 new regular employees OR Address Competency Gap of 78 regular employees = 2.5% Both Baseline Competency for 6 new regular	Baseline Competency Profile for 6 new regular employees Address Competency Gap of 78 regular employees on the following: Core Competencies: Innovation Interpersonal Effectiveness	Baseline Competen- cy for 9 new regular employees	2.5%	Baseline Competency for 9 new regular employees	2.5%	Competency Profile per Position of the new regular employees Certificate of Assumption	Acceptable. TPB is only given partial point based on the rating scale as it failed to address the competency gaps identified for its 78 regular employees.

⁵ Address competency gaps on the following: Core Competencies, Innovation, Interpersonal Effectiveness, Technical Competencies, Research and Analysis, Partnering/Networking, Marketing Proficiency and Expertise

T P B | Page 8 of 8 Validation Result of 2017 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

	Compone	nt			TPB Subr	nission	GCG Valid	dation	Supporting	
Objective/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
			employees AND Address Competency Gap of 78 regular employees = 5%	Technical Competencies: Research and Analysis Partnering/Net working Marketing Proficiency and Expertise						
	Sub-total	5%				2.5%		2.5%		
	TOTAL	100%				87.5%		45.5%		